

VoIP Tutorial

Jim McEachern jmce@nortelnetworks.com





Outline

- VoIP Standards & Architecture
- "NGN": Next Generation Network standards
- QoS
- Carrier VoIP Requirements
- ENUM
- VoIP Interconnection





VoIP Standards

- H.248
- H.323
- BICC
- SIP
- SIP-T
- SIP-I

. . .





IETF Megaco Working Group





H.248? or SIP? or H.323? or MGCP?



MGCP (RFC 3435) vs. H.248 (RFC 3525)

RFC 3435 is an informational RFC, and contains the following:

IESG Note

This document is being published for the information of the community. It describes a protocol that is currently being deployed in a number of products. Implementers should be aware of RFC 3015, which was developed in the IETF Megaco Working Group and the ITU-T SG16 and which is considered by the IETF and ITU-T to be the standards-based (including reviewed security considerations) way to meet the needs that MGCP was designed to address.



Note: RFC 3015 has now been updated by RFC 3525



IP Multimedia and Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)

- SIP Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) defined protocol for session based real-time multimedia
- IP Multimedia provides a framework for a wide range of services developed and deployed using Internet techniques
- Ubiquitous access (any time, any place, any device, any bearer)
- SIP allows integration of voice and video services





SIP looks like HTTP and SIP addresses look like Web addresses SIP controls media for all types of service, non real time and real time, and provides a common framework to integrate those



Value of SIP Protocol

Abstracts media type

- Value => common set of services for all media types
- Utilises Internet-style service creation
 - SIP and HTTP are part of the same family of protocols
 - **Value** => fast and innovative real-time services

Services at the edge

- Services can be deployed end-to-end via client (but also supports network based services)
- Value => fast and innovative real-time services

Extensible

- SIP is text based (like HTTP), very easy to add extensions
- Value => reduced churn in the network to deploy services

Flexible

- SIP does not define the whole vertical solution (unlike H.323)
- Value => deployed infrastructure can adapt to future service types
- Scalable
 - Value => network expansion and management is straightforward





SIP Architecture



SIP-T / SIP-I: ISUP – SIP Interworking



Provides end-to-end Transparency for ISUP Features not Supported by SIP





Transition to SIP



Helps Transition from ISUP to an all SIP Network





VoIP Architectures

- Toll bypass
 - Peer-to-peer
 - PSTN interconnect
- Circuit-to-packet
 - Long Distance
 - Local (Class 5)
 - Network
- NGN





VoIP Architectures: Toll Bypass



Circuit to Packet: Long Distance



... Ensuring Seamless PSTN Interworking





Circuit to Packet: Long Distance



Packet Trunking greatly reduces the number of TDM trunks required





Circuit to Packet: Local (Class 5)



Circuit to Packet: Network Evolution

Add line or trunk module to the network at the point where access is needed



usion



Circuit to Packet: Network Evolution



MPLS 2004

NORTEL NETWORKS

Network growth \rightarrow Add a server

Circuit to Packet: Network Evolution





VoIP Architectures: NGN



Outline

- VoIP Standards & Architecture
- "NGN": Next Generation Network standards
- QoS
- Carrier VoIP Requirements
- ENUM
- VoIP Interconnection





NGN Standards

- ITU-T FGNGN
- ETSI TISPAN
- ATIS NGN-FG

Emerging consensus to base core NGN architecture on 3GPP IMS





3GPP IMS in Next Generation Networks

- IMS is agreed as a key component of the "Release 1" global NGN standard
- Cooperation required with 3GPP
- Goal : a common IMS core with access-specific extensions



What is IMS?

- IMS: IP Multimedia Subsystem
- Provides multimedia solution for UMTS operators
 - Reflects "carrier" requirements for IP multimedia
- Uses IETF protocols with 3GPP extensions and profiling
- Introduced in 3GPP Release 5 (approved June 2002) specifications. Enhanced in Release 6 (target approval Sept-Dec 2004)
- Originally developed for UMTS/GPRS access but system design enabled evolution towards access independence in Release 6
- Support for inter-operator and international roaming
- Supports deployment of multivendor systems through well defined open interfaces
- Support for IPv6 is mandated within the IM Subsystem
- Does not provide service equivalence to the Circuit Core
 - based on SIP service model

Advanced multimedia for UMTS and GPRS



IMS position in 3GPP system

MPLS

2004



IMS is an overlay to an IP-enabled access network



IMS – Key Elements

- CSCFs ("Call State Control Functions") provide handling of SIP signalling in network Three flavours of CSCF
 - "Proxy-CSCF" manages access to IMS local to the IP anchor point
 - "Interrogating-CSCF" finds the right Serving-CSCF
 - "Serving-CSCF" provides user services
- HSS ("Home Subscriber Server") provides centralised database of subscription and service information
- PDFs ("Policy Decision Function") manages policy for handling IP flows in network
 - Release 5: PDF is in P-CSCF, Release 6: PDF can be separated
- Application Server Provides value added applications on top of IMS framework



IMS services

3GPP standardizes IMS Service Capabilities NOT Services

- 3GPP defines mechanisms for services based on Presence, MMS, Streaming, but the services that would use these are not defined
- Services are implemented in Home Network
 - Service knowledge not required in visited network but may exist
- Examples of Services that can be built on IMS
 - Point to point and conferenced multimedia
 - Presence
 - Instant Messaging
 - Streaming
 - Combinations and integration of these
- Services may be included in the S-CSCF or in to an application server
- Three IMS Service Platforms (Application Servers)
 - CAMEL (IMS-SSF) provides transition for legacy services
 - OSA Open Service Access (OSA-SCS) provides "web services" like API
 - SIP (SIP-AS) provides service creation for SIP infrastructure
- S-CSCF communicates with the three types of Application Servers via the IP multimedia service control (ISC) interface. The ISC interface is SIP-based.

Open architecture for services



Services Architecture



IMS access independence

The Core IP Multimedia Subsystem is intended in principle to be able to offer service to terminals on any kind of access that supports IP

- UMTS/GSM using GPRS
- CDMA
- Wireless LAN
- Ethernet/xDSL
- Etc.

Work is not yet complete:

- Release 5 IMS documentation focuses on UMTS/GSM
- Release 6 IMS split out the 'access independent' parts, but does not explicitly address other access systems
- Some work in 3GPP Release 6 on WLAN access to IMS incomplete
- 3GPP2 MMD (Multimedia Domain) uses IMS core subsystem over CDMA

IMS architecture fits with access independence concepts





Multiple access architecture



IMS for carrier applications

Some areas where IMS deltas may be needed (based on recent TISPAN/3GPP discussions) :

- Security requirements (3GPP IMS only supports smart-card based security)
- Requirements for support of IPv4
- SIP Profile requirements for xDSL access to IMS
- Gq extensions for NGN (e.g. for NAT control)
- QoS classes and end to end QoS interworking
- Emergency call and regulatory service support
- Default codec support





ETSI TISPAN View





PSTN Emulation vs. Simulation



Outline

- VoIP Standards & Architecture
- "NGN": Next Generation Network standards

> QoS

- Carrier VoIP Requirements
- ENUM
- VoIP Interconnection





Mouth to Ear Delay

Example is for G.711 codec using 20 ms packet time



Reference Network Diagram



Points to note

- Some QoS technologies are End-to-End**:
 - DiffServ
- Some QoS technologies only have significance on/between devices or within a network region:
 - MPLS
 - Ethernet 802.1p / Q (VLANs)
 - Port Prioritization
 - DOCSIS
 - PPP
 - ATM
 - Frame Relay
 - xDSL
 - Wireless link-layer technologies (e.g., 802.11e)
 - **Traffic separation using \lambdas, Frequencies or Time Slots**



** End-to-End defined as User-User, User-Host or Host-Host



Internet model

- inter-connected networks mainly serve to provide end to end connectivity
- intelligence remains at network edge
- stimulates new services
- but who is responsible for end to end QoS ?



Managed-Network model

- service provider able to control end to end QoS
- ... but end-point tied to specific service provider
- less opportunity for end-points to create new services



QoS with MPLS Core Network



Nortel Networks Service Classes (NNSC)

Traffic Category	Nortel Networks Service Class	Assigned DSCP	Example Application	
Network Control	Critical	CS7 Critical Alarms, heartbeats		
	Network	CS6	Routing, Critical OAM, Signaling between Call Servers (SIP-T)	
Interactive	Premium	EF, CS5	IP Telephony (VoIP, FoIP)	
	Platinum	AF41, AF42, AF43, CS4	Video Conferencing, Interactive Gaming	
Responsive	Gold	AF31, AF32, AF33, CS3Streaming audio/video, Video on D		
	Silver	AF21, AF22, AF23, CS2	Client/Server Transactions, OAM&P	
Timely	Bronze	AF 11, AF12, AF13	2, Priority data, email, billing	
	Standard	DF (=CS0)	Best effort	





QoS Mapping: Example 1

In this example, the E-LSP PSC supports 4 Ordered Aggregate and one of the OAs have three Behavior Aggregates.

- This example requires that flow admission control for inelastic traffic and flow conditioning for elastic traffic is performed before flow is admitted into the MPLS network.
- LSRs support 4 queues

Application/Service Type	DSCP name	MPLS EXP	Comments	Queuing	РНВ
Administrative	CS7	110	The OA behavior is same as	Rate	CS
Network Control	CS6	110	Network Control service class	T tate	
Telephony	EF	101	The OA behavior is same as	Priority	EF
Signaling	CS5		Telephony service class.		
Real-time Interactive	CS4		Admission control per service		
Broadcast Video	CS3		class ensures conformance		
Low Latency Data, High Throughput Data	AF21, AF11, CS2	011	BA provides low drop probability	Rate	ΔF
	AF22, AF12	010	Traffic in this BA is drop eligible	Trate	7.4
	AF23, AF13	001	Traffic in this BA is drop eligible		
Standard	DF	000	Traffic in this BA is drop eligible	Rate	DF

Note: PSC = EXP-Inferred-PHB Scheduled Class

OA = Ordered Aggregate

BA = Behavior Aggregate within a OA





QoS Mapping: Example 2

 In this example, the E-LSP PSC supports 6 Ordered Aggregate and two of the OAs have two Behavior Aggregates each.

LSRs support 6 queues

Application/Service Type	DSCP name	MPLS EXP	Comments	Queuing	РНВ
Administrative	CS7	111	The OA behavior is same as	Rate	CS
Network Control	CS6		Network Control service class		
Telephony	EF	110	The OA behavior is same as Telephony service class	Priority	EF
Signaling	CS5				
Real-time Interactive	CS4				
Broadcast Video	CS3		The OA behavior is same as Broadcast Video	Rate	2nd EF
Multimedia	AF41, AF42,	101			
Conferencing	AF43				
Low Latency Data,	AF21, CS2	100	BA provides low drop probability	Pate	AF
OAM	AF22, AF23	011	Traffic in this BA is drop eligible	TALE	
High Throughput Date	AF11, AF31	010	BA provides low drop probability	Rate	AF
Multimedia Streaming	AF12, AF13,	001	Traffic in this BA is drop eligible		
	AF32, AF33				
Standard	DF	000	OA behavior = Standard SC	Rate	DF



Note: PSC = EXP-Inferred-PHB Scheduled Class

OA = Ordered Aggregate

BA = Behavior Aggregate within a OA



What is ECN CAC

- Call admission control (or IP session admission) is a mechanism to block new real-time inelastic flows like voice and videoconference when the network resources are at capacity and additional flows will degrade the service quality to established users.
- ECN CAC is a probe based approach during session (call) setup to determine if there is sufficed bandwidth for a new session to be admitted.
- Better scalability than some alternative mechanisms
 - VAC solution uses simple call counting and requires that the switch is topology aware. It has issues of scalability
 - Bandwidth reservation (RSVP) plus book keeping is an alternative approach but it requires that the routers maintain state information, has issues of scalability and may not have sufficient flexibility to address different applications.





DiffServ Field & Explicit Congestion Notification



DiffServ (DS) Field

MPLS

2004

The first six bits contain the DiffServ Code Points (DSCP).

Bits 6 & 7 of the DS Field are used for Explicit Congestion Notifications (ECN).

RFC 3168 defines the use of ECN for TCP flows.



CAC Procedure



Outline

- VoIP Standards & Architecture
- "NGN": Next Generation Network standards
- QoS
- Carrier VoIP Requirements
- ENUM
- VoIP Interconnection





Regulatory

Service Providers require a number of complex regulatory capabilities to effectively migrate to packet.

Lawful Intercept

• CALEA, German LI Certification

Number Portability

• LNP, wireless NP

Emergency Services

• E911, GETS

Operator Services

• TOPS, Global TOPS for tandem and Class 5 related features





Outline

- VoIP Standards & Architecture
- "NGN": Next Generation Network standards
- QoS
- Carrier VoIP Requirements

> ENUM

VoIP Interconnection





ENUM in a nutshell RFC 2916





Public ENUM vs. Operator ENUM

- Public ENUM is the administrative policies and procedures surrounding the administration of e164.arpa as defined in RFC 2916
 - mapping of E.164 number to URI's
 - Nation State Control
 - Generally speaking Consumer Opt In (Consumer Control of the NAPTR records)
- **Operator (Private) ENUM** is the use of DNS technology *described* in RFC 2916 in other domains.
 - Service Provider or Enterprise Controlled
 - Non Visible to the the general Internet user
 - Access Control to the Data
 - May or may not have linkages to a public ENUM service





Lessons learnt in the ENUM Trials

ENUM technology works,

- Most problems solved, but shift in focus for the business models.
- The original business model of ENUM for residential subscribers with opt-in for existing numbers has problems:
 - > privacy problems with multiple services (e-mail spam)
 - > Validation and re-validation of the number holder problem
- > But the major problem is how to overcome Metcalfe's Law!
 - The usefulness, or utility, of a network equals the square of the number of users



Simple Carrier ENUM/SIP Call Flow



A More Complex Carrier ENUM Example



Outline

- VoIP Standards & Architecture
- "NGN": Next Generation Network standards
- QoS
- Carrier VoIP Requirements
- ENUM
- > VoIP Interconnection





VoIP Packet Interconnect: Challenges



VoIP Packet Interconnect Considerations

- Architectural Alignment
- Protocol Alignment
- Encoding & transcoding
- Voice Band Data & DTMF
- Service Interactions

Security

. . .

- Quality of Service
- Trouble handling
- Accounting & Reporting





End-to-End Model





Border Control Model



Trusted Network Model



Conclusions

- VoIP is ready for volume deployment now
- Ongoing work will further enhance "quality of experience"
- Regulatory requirements still being considered
- Initial deployment for voice will enable future capabilities as market matures
 - Multimedia
 - Converged core for NGN

